		Risk Assessment	
Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date 01/10/2024 Assessment number RC/DP/R 1	
Staff responsible	Principal & CM	Review Date 01/10/2025	
Task		GDPR COMPLIANCE	

					Risk Rating							
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action plan	By Whom	Date	Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
1	Failure to secure electronic records including BB & 'O' Drive	Patients/students/staff. College reputation and business viability locally & nationally	GDPR Governor & Officers; Pw protection practices; Server with access securities; Policies & staff awarenesss/ training Removal & update of data policy & practice. Issuing of College email addresses	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)					Low	
2	Failure to secure onsite paper records/files	Patients/students/staff. College reputation and business viability locally & nationally	Data in secure locked files/offices. Policiy for data destruction Confidential shredding annually. Securities applied to file access	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)					Low	
3	Failure deal correctly with archived /out of date data	Patients/students/staff. College reputation and business viability locally & nationally	College policy for file retention & destruction Equipment to deal with smallscale daily shredding of confidential material Certified shredding company used annually.	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)	None				Low	
4	Visual displays	Patients/students/staff. College reputation and business viability locally & nationally	Policy & Practice of not displaying sensitive information & data in 'public' places/notice boards.	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)				GDPR RA 2	Low	
5	Failure to communicate data institution's policy & practices	Patients/students/staff. College reputation and business viability locally & nationally	Policies displayed on Intranet, in clinic or on general noticeboards.	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)					Low	

Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date 01/10/2023 Assessment number RC/F/R2
Staff responsible	Bursar	Review Date 01/10/2024
Task		FINANCE FRAUD

Risk Assessment

				ī	Risk Rating		1					
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action pla	By Whom	Date	Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
1	Electronic/online banking fraud	Budget inaccuracies , possible financial losses to the College. Professionalism queries - contract competency expectations breached	Online banking access resticted to Gov finance, bursar & Pr. Individual access /PWs. Finance Reports to subfinance & BoG. Annual audit, 3yr external audit check.	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)	Written Protocol for collecting recording & banking circulated	Bursar & Cons	April 2025		Medium	
2	Telephone banking fraud	Budget inaccuracies , possible financial losses to the College. Professionalism queries - contract competency expectations breached	Telephone banking access resticted to Gov finance, bursar & Pr. Individual access require pws. Finance reports to sub-finance & BoG. Annual audit, 3yr external audit check.	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)	Written Finance (telephone banking) Protocol	Bursar & CCons	April 2025		Medium	
3	Student fee collection (SFE, ELCAS, self funding) fraud	Budget inaccuracies , possible financial losses to the College. Professionalism queries -contract competency expectations breached	SFE: Validation arrangement - College payment checks & re-imbursment initiation. ELCAS/self-funding - College payment checks & re-imbursment initiation. Student Contract, Student Protection Plan, Credit Control & Refund & Compensation Policies	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	
4	Fraudulant use of resources e.g phone/copiers etc	Budget inaccuracies , possible financial losses to the College. Professionalism queries - contract competency expectations breached	Monthly checks e.g. on Phone usage against bills & photocopy use. Stock monitoring of resources by CL Leads	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)					Low	
5	Fraudulent claims -work/hours	Budget inaccuracies , possible financial losses to the College. Professionalism queriescontract competency expectations breached	Staff pay claim submission, checks initiated by bursar only	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	
6	Fraudulent (Patient Fees collection, recording & banking)	Budget inaccuracies , possible financial losses to the College- contract competency expectations breached	Receptionist/lead clinician end of session till checks, Monthly Bursar checks, Clinical leads.	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)	Written Protocol for collecting recording & banking circulated	Burs/PMs	Jan 2025		Low	Ensure circulation of protocols to PMs

			RISK Assessm	ent		
Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date	01/11/2024	Assessment number	RC/IT/R3	
Staff responsible	Principal	Review Date	11/01/2025			
Task	1		17	T SECURITY		

					Risk Rating		•					
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action plan	By Whom	Date	Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
1	Hacking of Website	People: Staff, students (potental, current & alumni), patients and the public. College business & partners	TechnoBuffs Service Level Agreement, website maintenance & checks, Firewalls,	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)	Upgrade to cloud service & firewall upgrades	TB (consult)	Feb /Mar 2025		Low	Nov - Stage 1 in progress. Ass of NSMI Jan 2025
2	Security breach of confidential areas on College intranet / access to unauthorised data	People: Students (potental, current & alumni), patients and the public. College business & collaborative partners	O Drive set up with Access rights, SLA with TechnoBuffs , monitoring by SMT authorisation access & changes via Pr only, PW protected transfer of data.	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)	Upgrade to clou service & firewall upgrades	TB (consult	Feb /Mar 2025		Low	Nov - Stage 1 in progress. Ass of NSMI Jan 2025
3	Virus /phishing attack	People: Staff, students (potental, current & alumni), patients. College business & collaborative partners	QH Service Level Agreement, Firewalls, regular software updates, website maintenance & checks (F1),	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	
4	loss of portable hardware e.g. laptop/ Ipad, or PC	People: Staff, students (potental, current & alumni), patients. College business & collaborative partners	Password protection. Access securities to 'O' Drive, College protocol for location and sharing of data. Old hard drives disposed of or wiped by via QH	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)					Low	
5	Server breakdown	People: Students (potental, current & alumni), patients and the public. College business & collaborative partners	Back up Drive QH SLA, server backup system & drives - server up & running in hrs. Additional onsite server	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	
6		People: Students (potental, current & alumni), patients and the public. College business & collaborative partners	BoG & SMT reviews (Risk items on Agenda)	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	

	Risk Assessment										
Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date 01/11/2004 Assessment number RC/RQ/R4									
Staff responsible	Principal	Review 01/11/2025									
Task		GOsC - RQ Status (including impact of regulator merger or change)									

					Risk Rating							
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action plan	By Whom		Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
1	Loss of RQ Status	Loss of professional recognition, ability to attract students, course validation and Ofs registration and ultimately the college business.	GOsC/QAA AMR and risk - based approach to monitoring. College RQ monitored Action Plan and monitoring with deadlines	High (4)	Unlikely (1)	Medium (4)					Low	
2	GOsC Merger or New Regulator	New requirements for providers to meet New programme requirements (not RQ) New framework /amendments to standards for providers in line with other providers CPD expectation changes could result in challenges to remain registered Potential changes for Educators to demonstrate their ability	PSA - overseeing health care regulators (managed process) Change will affect for all osteopathic providers Time- managed event (legislation lengthly)	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)	Remain updated on any current changes	PR/SMT + BoG	Ongoing		Low	

	Risk Assessment										
Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date 07/09/2024 Assessment number RC/V/R5									
Staff responsible	HoE/SQM	Review Date 07/09/2025									
Task	University Degree Validation (UoD)										

					Risk Rating							
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action plan	By Whom	Date	Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
1	Non-achievement of University Validation requirements	No degree course offer & possible loss of status and therefore attractiveness of a non degree course. Effects on ability to recruit adequate numbers, college finance and busines status as a going concern	preparation processes,	High (4)	Unlikely(1)	Medium (4)					Low	
2	Loss of University Validation (College non-compliance)	As Above	University monitoring AMR, Operations Manual, Link tutor, Recent re-validation in 2024	High (4)	Unlikely(1)	Medium (4)					Low	
3	Termination of Contract (either party)	As Above	MoC - terms of agreement, 'teach-out' arrangements	High (4)	Unlikely(1)	Medium (4)					Low	

	Risk Assessment										
Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date	01/11/2023	Assessment number	RC/O/R6						
Staff responsible	Pr/Reg	Review Date	01/11/2024								
Task				OfS							
-											

					Risk Rating							
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action plan	By Whom		Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
11	Failure to maintain registration compliance	students - no SFE loans. Loss of professional and academic credibility, impact on validation and ultimately college business Impact on registered students	OfS Monitoring and checks and individual action plans. College's own governance & management and quality processes. Student Protection Plans, franchise & TO arrangements.		Possible (2)	High (8)	Ongoing response to updates re compliance via BoG & subcommittees e.g Sexual Harassment & Misconduct compliance due in Sept 2025	Board & Sub-Com Chairs	4 x per year		Medium	Oct Check - University partner training

RISK Assessment											
Area	Regulation & Compliance	Date	01/11/2024	Assessment number	RC/SG/R 7						
Staff responsible	Bursar/SQM	Review Date	01/11/2025								
Task	Safeguarding Vulnerable People										

				Risk Rating			1					
Risk Number	Risk Description	Effects/ Impact on	Existing controls	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L)	Rating	Further action required/ action plan	By Whom		Cross reference categories	Risk with Mitigation	Update
1	Failure to protect patients	Loss of professional credibility, RQ/validation / OfS registration and College business	Professional body monitoring (GOSC), Use of registered practitioners, College protocols & staff training (Clinic) & monitoring of GOSC register, QRB activities,patient feedback,	High(4)	Possible(2)	High (8)	Safeguarding training roll out	SLT	ongoing	Prevent RAs	Med/Low	•Safeguarding training roll out
2	Failure to protect students (including illnes & mental health)	Loss of professional credibility, RQ/validation /OfS registration and College business		High(4)	Possible(2)	High (8)	Safeguarding training roll out	SLT	•ongoing	Prevent RAs	Med/Low	•Safeguarding training roll out
3	Failure to protect vulnerable staff (include illness /mental health)	Loss of professional credibility, RQ/ OfS registation/validation and College business	Use of HR & H&S consultants, Employee Handbook with policies for H&S end HR, Professional body monitoring of staff complaints, QRB activities, recent health declaration required to ensure ability to support staff	High(4)	Possible(2)	High (8)	•Safeguarding training roll out	SLT	•ongoing	Prevent RAs	Med/Low	•Safeguarding training roll out

			Risk Assessment			
Area	Regulation & Compliance]	Date 01/11/2024	Assessment number	RC/P/R8	
Staff responsible	Pr/ CoM/B/SQM		Review Date 01/11/2025			
Task			Prevent			

				Risk Rating			İ					
0:11:										Cross reference	Risk with	
Risk Number	Risk Description Leadership: Leaders (including governors / trustees) within the organisation do not understand the requirements of the Prevent Duty or the risks faced by the organisation.Leaders do not understand, nor have ultimate ownership of their safeguarding processes and do not communicate and promote the importance of the duty.	Effects/ Impact on *The Duty is not managed or enabled at a sufficiently senior level. *A safeguarding culture is lacking across the institution. *A safe environment is not provided for learners. *The organisation does not attach sufficient priority to Prevent and risk assessment/Action plans.	Existing controls •Prevent Duty & Safeguarding training for key governors and the Senior Management Team. •Governor identified for Prevent . •Risk responsibility lies with governors. •A governor is identified to oversee Risk	Impact (I)	Likelihood (L) Possible (2)	High(8)	Further action required/ action plan Governor and SLT to sign off Prevent and vulnerable persons training by staff. Increase the visual promotion of a safeguarding culture by Governors & SLT presence in training & discussions (BoG)	*Board of Governors and SLT *Board of Governors and SLT	Date Dec (at Prevent retn) at x4 meetings	categories	Mitigation Medium	Update
2	External Speakers & Events: Ineffective or lack of policies and procedures for external speakers or events. This leads to the linappropriate interpretation of freedom of speech implications, and disproportionate application of 'Prevent' processes. Allowance of unlawful debate under the guise of freedom of speech which could leave open the potential of the hosting of prescribed organisations. No risk assessment process attached to events. Focus on events limited to on site (no consideration to partner venues or external locations.)	•Ineffective external speaker and events policies/processes increases the chances of extremist infliration through events and speaking opportunities.	Published timetable of events. Central office with a 'meet and greet' member of staff. Prevent trained senior member of staff agrees external speakers. External speaker identified on timetable & communicated to staff & students. *Risk Assessment -standing Agenda item BoG & SMT Freedom of speech policy published on website and intranet for students & staff - Procedure for informing and managinging visitor's understanding of the College's Prevent duty expectations on campus *Lesson obs & anonymous student feedback on lesson process.*	Medium (3)	Possible (2)	Medium (6)					Low	
3	Partnerships: The provider does not establish effective partnerships with other partners including police, OF EF/HE Regional Prevent Coordinator, and the local authority. Learners not engaged on Prevent duty implementation. No SPOC for Prevent-related activity. No safeguarding information sharing consideration or agreement (where appropriate) in place at the local level. No consideration given to the requirement to share appropriate information with partners.	The organisation is not fully appraised of national and local risks ineffective safeguarding contacts and links, and no access to developing good practice advice or supportive peer networks.	Links with CTLP Links with Regional FE/HE Prevent Coordinators Links to the local Prevent Police. Links to the local Prevent Piffcers & policies e.g. with regards to safeguarding where space use is shared.	High (4)	Unlikely (1)	Medium (4)				Cross ref (3) partners	Low	
4	Risk Assessent & Action Plans: Lack of appropriate or ineffective risk assessment related to learners or staff being drawn into terrorism. Risk assessment limited with no consideration of site, welfare, and relationships with external bodies. No update attached to Risk Assessments. Lack of policy/ procedures for managing whistleblowing and complaints.	•The provider not responding to the appropriate and level of risk hence, ineffective Prevent Management.	Risk assessments with action plans with time frame and person responsible identified Risk Assessments monintored up to Board level. Risk Assessments have updates & an annual review date Risk Assessments published Adaptable Risk Management process. Whisleblowing & complaints policies	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	
5	Staff Training : Appropriate staff/governors not trained and unaware what extremism is and radicalisation means and why people may be vulnerable. Appropriate staff uninformed on Prevent measures and actions and behaviours to look out for and how to make a referral, including Channel. Appropriate Staff and governors do not undertake the appropriate level of training, Lack of knowledge prevents the identification of vulnerabilities that may be exploited by extremist narratives. Volunteers and subcontractors not included in any training plans.	Staff do not recognise behavioural signs of radicalisation and vulnerabilities. The risk of harm is not reported properly and promptly by staff.	Schedule of Prevent training for staff at all levels Monitored record of staff Prevent training from Board to key front facing staff in contact with students, patients and the public Key contractors on the training schedule Regular visitors informed of Prevent expectations via a briefing document.	High(4)	Possible (2)	High (8)				(cross ref 2)	Low	Training is ongoing. See link with Safeguarding

6	radicalisation or safeguarding issues. Insufficient appropriate pastoral and welfare support available to all students. Ineffective policies in place regarding the use and management of identified faith space including detailing the properties for managing and issues.	Learner vulnerabilities are not appropriately addressed resulting in potential for radicalisation. Prayer and faithspace inappropriately utilised or managed and used and therefore facilitates extremist action. Inappropriate management of the faith facilities could result in tensions and a lack of learner cohesion.	Cross college pastoral support and key area and academic level support tutors Annual declaration and fitness to practice monitoring process identified faith spaces on campus	High (4)	Possible (2)	High(8)					Low	
7	process, nor how to refer to it. Prevent is not embedded within the safeguarding policy and within the culture of safeguarding culture within the institution. Padicalication and related willograbilities are not.		•Safeguarding leads identified and trained across The College.	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)	•Continued roll out of Safeguarding training for key staff including Board members	SMT & LMs	Ongoing	(cross ref 1) & Vul people RAs (1 - 3)	Medium	
8	the institution. Learners may distribute extremist material using the institution IT system.	•Ineffective IT policies increases the likelihood of learners and staff being drawn into extremist material and narratives online. eliappropriate internet use by learners is not identified or followed up.	IT policies in place for staff in employee handbook & availble for contractors	High (4)	Possible (2)	High (8)					Low	
9	<u>Curriculum:</u> The setting does not promote the development of appropriate values and community cohesion. Appropriate values are not exemplified by staff to learners, that safeguard against radicalisation and	or hateful narratives and lack understanding of the risks posed by terrorist organisations and extremist ideologies that underpin them.	Codes of conduct for all staff (teaching and non-teaching staff) The institution carries out recruitment checks on all staff. Classroom teaching is monitored by senior leaders through observations, text & content checks (quality assured). The institution provides opportunities within the curriculum to discuss controversial issues and for pupils to develop critical thinking and media literacy skills.	High (4)	Unlikey (1)	Medium (4)					Low	